arXiv:1610.08196v1 [hep-ph] 26 Oct 2016

What tell us LHC data about Higgs boson parity

I. F. Ginzburg
Sobolev Institute of Mathematics, Department of Theor. Physics, Prosp. Ac. Koptyug, 4, and
Novosibirsk State University, ul. Pirogova, 2, 630090 Novosibirsk, Russia

Abstract

Recently CMS and ATLAS announced that they had measured the Higgs boson parity. Here we note that their approach can determine this parity only under the additional assumption that this particle has a definite parity.

If parity conservation is violated in the Higgs sector, the parity of observed "Higgs" boson does not exist. The approach used in the mentioned experiments does not allow to observe such opportunity. In this sense titles of mentioned CMS and ATLAS publications are misleading.

In the papers [1]-[4] the CMS and ATLAS collaborations declared that they had measured the parity of $h(125)$ – Higgs boson with mass of 125 GeV (see also recent report [5]). Below we note that the titles of these papers[1] are misleading. The approach used in [1]-[4] can lead to a final result in the special case of the CP conserving Higgs sector only and does not work in the more general case.

To explain our statement we review briefly well known facts described e.g. in [6, 7]. The Higgs boson of SM is definitely P-even. The necessity of measuring its parity appears only in the extended models of the Higgs sector – (beyond Standard Model – BSM).

(A) For the papers mentioned the method of measurement was formulated in refs. [8]-[10]. It is based on *the assumption that the observed particle* $h(125)$ *has definite P-parity.*

If h is P-even, it interacts with gauge bosons W and Z as in SM (for brevity we write down only the interaction with Z, the interaction with W differs by coefficient only):

$$\Delta L \propto h \cdot Z_\mu Z^\mu \,. \tag{1}$$

If h is P-odd, its interaction to gauge bosons comes from BSM interactions or from radiative corrections. The corresponding effective Lagrangian is given

[1] "Study of the mass and spin-parity of the Higgs boson candidate via its decays to Z boson pairs" [1], "Constraints on the spin-parity and anomalous HVV couplings of the Higgs boson in proton collisions at 7 and 8 TeV. " [2] and "Determination of spin and parity of the Higgs boson in the $WW^* \to e\nu\mu\nu$ decay channel with the ATLAS detector" [3], "Study of the spin and parity of the Higgs boson in diboson decays with the ATLAS detector" [4]

by dimension 5 operator

$$\Delta L \propto h \cdot \varepsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} Z^{\alpha\beta} Z^{\mu\nu} \,. \tag{2}$$

Papers [8]-[10] (see also [6, 7]) showed that the forms (1) and (2) describe quite different correlations in the momentum distributions of leptons produced in decays $h \to ZZ^* \to (\ell_1\bar{\ell}_1)(\ell_2\bar{\ell}_2)$ or $h \to WW^* \to (\bar{\ell}_1\nu)(\ell_2\bar{\nu})$ with leptons $\ell = e,\, \mu$.

These correlations were measured in the experiments [1]-[4] and it was found that correlations, correspondent to (2) are absent (or small). This fact allows to conclude that these observations are consistent with the quantum numbers $J^{PC} = 0^{++}$ for $h(125)$.

(B) However, the dilemma discussed in [8]-[10] is incomplete. In many models for BSM physics, CP parity conservation in Higgs sector is violated, Higgs bosons have no definite P-parity. For such cases **the experiments [1]-[4] are not designed to observe this parity violation and therefore it is not possible to draw any conclusions about** $h(125)$ **parity from these experiments**.

The common feature of BSM models is the presence of additional particles similar to a Higgs boson – both P-even and P-odd with their possible mixing. We discuss for example the simplest example of such models – the well known two-Higgs-doublet model, 2HDM (see, e.g., [11]) *(the Higgs sector of MSSM is its particular case).*

In this model the basic Higgs doublet ϕ_1 is supplemented by a second scalar doublet ϕ_2. The interaction of Higgs boson with gauge bosons comes from a kinetic term of the Lagrangian $D^\mu\phi_1^* D_\mu\phi_1 + D^\mu\phi_2^* D_\mu\phi_2$ where D_μ is covariant derivative, which includes fields W_μ and Z_μ.

The Electroweak symmetry breaking with standard decomposition for neutral components $\phi_i^0 = (v_i e^{i\xi_i} + \zeta_i + i\eta_i)/\sqrt{2}$ produces four neutral fields $\zeta_{1,2},\ \eta_{1,2}$ (where $v_{1,2}$ are v.e.v.'s of the fields ϕ_1 and $|v_1|^2 + |v_2|^2 = v^2$, $v = 246$ GeV). One linear combination of $\eta_{1,2}$ gives a neutral component of the Goldstone field G^0, the orthogonal linear combination of η_i is denoted by $\tilde{\eta}$. In the CP-conserving case a linear combination of the fields ζ_i forms two scalar Higgses h and H, while $\tilde{\eta}$ describes a P-odd Higgs A. In the CP-violating case the fields ζ_i and $\tilde{\eta}$ are mixed, forming three Higgs fields h_a that have no definite P-parity. The interaction of h_a with Z comes from a kinetic term in precisely the same way as in the SM and can be written as

$$g_{SM}^Z \sum_a \chi_a^V h_a Z^\mu Z_\mu \,. \tag{3}$$

In this main approximation the form of $h_a ZZ$ interaction does not depend on the P-parity of h_a (only the "P-even part of h_a" interacts with Z), small terms $\propto \varepsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} Z^{\alpha\beta} Z^{\mu\nu}$ (2) can appear only in radiative corrections. In this case results of the experiments [1]-[4] can not rule out admixture of P-odd component in the observed $h(125)$.

This parity non-conservation can be observed in the Yukawa interactions of Higgs boson. Unfortunately, there is no universal measure of parity non-conservation, which characterizes given boson $h(125) = h_1$. Indeed, the mixing angle of CP-odd component in h_1 and the phases of $h_1 \to \tau\bar{\tau}$ and $h_1 t\bar{t}$ couplings are generally independent quantities. The methods for the study of CP violation in Higgs sector are collected in [6, 7], at LHC the results may be obtained in experiments $h_1 \to \tau\bar{\tau}$ and $pp \to t\bar{t}\, h_1 + ...$

(C) *In order to finish our discussion, we show that – within 2HDM – even a big admixture of P-odd components in the observed Higgs boson does not contradict the modern data.* For this goal we show that, with a suitable choice of parameters, the same values of the cross sections $gg \to h \to \gamma\gamma$ and $gg \to h \to ZZ$ can be obtained both in the SM and in the strongly CP-violating case of 2HDM with $h = h_1 = h(125)$,

$$\frac{\sigma(gg \to h_1 \to \gamma\gamma\,)}{\sigma(gg \to h \to \gamma\gamma\,)_{SM}} = 1\,, \qquad \frac{\sigma(gg \to h_1 \to ZZ)}{\sigma(gg \to h \to ZZ)_{SM}} = 1\,. \tag{4}$$

Some sets of parameter values which satisfy these equations (with taking into account some other limitations) are presented in [12] for the cases when the $t\bar{t}h_1$ production cross section will differ significantly from its SM value.

We are interested in the case when, in addition to (4), future measurements of the $t\bar{t}h_1$ production cross section will give results which are very close to predictions of the SM.

In our calculations we use relative couplings, determined for the neutral Higgs bosons h_a with mass M_a and for the charged Higgs bosons $H^\pm$ with mass $M_\pm$:

$$\chi_a^P = \frac{g_a^P}{g_{\rm SM}^P}\ \ (P = V\,(W, Z), q = (t, b, ...))\,, \quad \chi_a^\pm = \frac{g(H^+H^-h_a)}{2M_\pm^2/v}\,. \tag{5}$$

(The ratio $\chi_3^V / \big(\chi_1^V \sqrt{1-(\chi_2^V)^2}\,\big)$ describes the admixture of the CP odd state in h_1 for 2HDM [13].)

Using the well-known equations for the two-photon and two-gluon widths, collected e.g. in [11], [14], we determine two benchmark sets of parameters, giving ratios (4) at $|\chi_1^t| = 1$:

$$\begin{aligned} &(I) \quad \chi_1^V = 0.9, \quad \chi_1^\pm = 0.4, \quad Re(\chi_1^t) = 0.9, \ \ Im(\chi_1^t) = 0.43\,; \\ &(II) \quad \chi_1^V = 0.8, \quad \chi_1^\pm = 1.4, \quad Re(\chi_1^t) = 0.74, \ \ Im(\chi_1^t) = 0.67\,. \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

(We neglected all fermion contributions except t-quarks.)

The couplings χ_a^V obey a sum rule $\sum(\chi_a^V)^2 = 1$. Therefore, in case (I) the sum $(\chi_2^V)^2 + (\chi_3^V)^2 = 0.19$, which allows us to have $\chi_2^V \approx \chi_3^V \approx 0.3$ (the admixture of the P-odd to P-even components of the h_1 about 0.3). In case (II) the sum $(\chi_2^V)^2 + (\chi_3^V)^2 = 0.36$, which allows us to have $\chi_2^V \approx \chi_3^V \approx 0.4$ (the admixture of the P-odd to P-even components of the h_1 about 0.5).

This simple analysis shows that a big P-odd admixture in the observed Higgs boson is compatible with SM-like values for many observed quantities.

Summary. The results [1]-[4] cannot give model independent information about Higgs boson parity. These results allow to determine Higgs boson parity only under assumption that this particle has definite parity. In our opinion this limitation should be included in the titles [1]-[4] for accuracy.

I am thankful S. Eidelman, B. Grzadkowski, I. Ivanov, J.Kalinowski, D. Kazakov, M. Krawczyk, G. Landsberg, K. Melnikov, R. Santos and M. Vysotsky for useful discussions. This work was supported in part by the grants RFBR 15-02-05868, NSh-3802.2012.2 and NCN OPUS 2012/05/B/ST2/03306 (2012-2016).

References

[1] The CMS Collaboration. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **110**, 081803 (2013); arXiv:1212.6639v2 [hep-ex].

[2] The CMS Collaboration. *Phys. Rev.* **D 92**, 012004 (2015); arXiv:1411.3441 [hep-ex].

[3] The ATLAS Collaboration. *Eur. Phys. J.* **C75**, 231 (2015); arXiv:1503.03643.

[4] The ATLAS Collaboration. *Eur. Phys. J.* **C**; arXiv:1506.05669 [hep-ex].

[5] The ATLAS and CMS Collaborations, arXiv:1606.02266 [hep-ex]

[6] S. Heinemeyer et al., arXiv:1307.1347 [hep-ph]

[7] S. Dawson et al., arXiv:1310.8361 [hep-ex]

[8] S.Y. Choi, D.J. Miller, M.M. Muhlleitner, P.M. Zerwas, *Phys. Lett.* **B 553**, 61 (2003); arXiv:hep-ph/0210077.

[9] S. Bolognesi et al., *Phys. Rev.* **D 86**, 095031 (2012); arXiv:1208.4018 [hep-ph].

[10] C. P. Buszello, I. Fleck, P. Marquard, J. J. van der Bij, *Eur. Phys. J.* **C32**, 209 (2004); arXiv:hep-ph/0212396.

[11] T.D. Lee, *Phys. Rev.* **D8**, 1226 (1973); J.F. Gunion, H.E. Haber, G. Kane and S. Dawson, *The Higgs Hunter's Guide* (Addison-Wesley, Reading, 1990); G. C. Branco, P. M. Ferreira, L. Lavoura, M. N. Rebelo, M. Sher and J. P. Silva, Phys. Rep. **516**, 1 (2012).

[12] D. Fontes, J.C. Romao, J.P. Silva, R. Santos, arXiv:1506.00860 [hep-ph].

[13] I.F. Ginzburg, K.A. Kanishev. *Phys. Rev.* **D 92** (2015) 015024; arXiv:1502.06346 [hep-ph]

[14] I.F. Ginzburg, arXiv:1505.01984 [hep-ph].